# DS3: System Services Review –

# New Products & Contractual Arrangements Consultation

This questionnaire has been prepared to facilitate responses to the consultation. Respondents are not restricted to this template and can provide supplementary material if desired.

Please send responses in electronic format to DS3@eirgrid.com or DS3@soni.ltd.uk

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondent Name | *<name>* |
| Contact telephone number | *<number>* |
| Respondent Company | *<company>* |

**Note: it is the TSOs’ intention to publish all responses. If your response is confidential, please indicate this by marking the following box with an “x”.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

 **Response confidential**

**The closing date for responses is Friday 3rd August 2012.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Question*** | ***Response*** |
| **New Products**  |  |
| **Synchronous Inertial Response** Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| What are your views on the proposed threshold value of 20s for the SIR ratio |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |  |
| **Fast Frequency Response** Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Which product option do you favour and why?  |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |  |
| **Fast Post-Fault Active Power Recovery**Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |  |
| **Ramping Product**Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Which product option do you favour and why?  |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |  |
| **Steady State Reactive Power**Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |  |
| **Dynamic Reactive Power capability**Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
|  |
| **Revised Replacement Reserve**Is the need for this product clear?If not, what additional information is required? |  |
| Is the product description clear? If not, what aspects require clarification or further details? |  |
| Given the system need, what alternative product design should be considered? |  |
| **Remuneration Approach** |  |
| **General Approach**What are your views on our proposed remuneration approach?Do you support the increased focus on performance reliability? |  |
| It is proposed that payment rates will be reduced where performance reliability is shown to be below a defined level (e.g. 90%) and reach zero at 50%. Do you believe this will be a more effective approach than applying charges for failures ? |  |
|  |  |
| **Contractual Arrangements**Bilateral contracts are proposed. What are your views on the proposed range of possible durations of 3 to 7 years? |  |
|  |  |