Generator Output Reductions

Calculation methodology, assumptions applied and Northern Ireland results for 2014 to 2020 including intervening years

20 March 2014



DISCLAIMER

SONI, the Transmission System Operator (TSO) for Northern Ireland, makes no warranties or representations of any kind with respect of this document, including, without limitation, its quality, accuracy and completeness. The TSO does not accept liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this document or any reliance on the information it contains. Use of this document and the information it contains is at the user's sole risk.

CONTENTS

1.	Sur	nm	ary	6
2.	Intr	odı	uction	7
3.	Red	cer	t SEMC Publications	8
4.	Gei	ner	ator Output Reduction Results	9
	4.1.	S	Scenarios	9
	4.2.	F	Risks	9
	4.3.	ι	Jpdates to September 2013 GOR Models1	1
	4.4.	lı	nstalled Wind Capacity1	2
	4.5.	Ir	nterpreting Results1	2
	4.6.	S	System Wind Generation Results1	3
	4.7.	٩	Nodal Results1	4
	4.7	.1.	Aghyoule1	4
	4.7	.2.	Antrim1	5
	4.7	.3.	Ballymena1	6
	4.7	.4.	Carnmoney1	7
	4.7	.5.	Castlereagh1	8
	4.7	.6.	Coleraine1	9
	4.7	.7.	Coolkeeragh2	0
	4.7	.8.	Drumnakelly2	1
	4.7	.9.	Drumquin2	2
	4.7	.10	Dungannon2	3
	4.7	.11	. Eden2	4
	4.7	.12	2. Enniskillen	5
	4.7	.13	. Gort2	6
	4.7	.14	. Killymallaght2	7
	4.7	.15	. Larne2	8
	4.7	.16	Limavady2	9
	4.7	.17	Lisaghmore3	0

4.7.18.	Loguestown
4.7.19.	Magherakeel
4.7.20.	Mid Antrim
4.7.21.	Newry
4.7.22.	Omagh35
4.7.23.	Slieve Kirk
4.7.24.	Springtown
4.7.25.	Strabane
4.7.26.	Tremoge
Appendix A. A	All Island Modelling Assumptions40
A.1. Ger	neration40
A.1.1. V	Vind Generation40
A.1.1.1.	Wind Regions40
A.1.1.2.	Wind Profiles41
A.1.1.3.	Generator Controllability41
A.1.1.4.	Wind Generation Build Out Rate42
A.1.2. T	īdal Generation43
A.1.3. C	Conventional Generation43
A.1.3.1.	Conventional Generator Retirement44
A.1.3.2.	Conventional Generator Commissioning44
A.1.3.3.	Conventional Generation Outages45
A.1.3.4.	Conventional Generation Emission Limits45
A.1.4. S	Storage Units45
A.1.5. S	Small Scale Generation45
A.1.6. E	Biomass Plants
A.1.7. V	Vaste to Energy Plants46
A.1.8. N	Ion-GPA Generation in Ireland47
A.2. Der	nand48
A.2.1. F	Peak Demand and Energy Forecast
A.2.2. D	Demand Profile

A.2.3. Load Distribution
A.3. Fuel and Carbon Prices
A.4. Interconnection
A.4.1. North-South Tie Line
A.4.2. Moyle
A.4.3. EWIC
A.4.4. Interconnector Modelling50
A.5. Operational Rules51
A.5.1. System Non-Synchronous Penetration51
A.5.2. Operational Reserve Requirements52
A.5.3. Minimum Synchronous Generation Requirement
A.6. Transmission Network54
A.6.1. Derating from MVA to MW54
A.6.2. Overhead Line, Cable and Transformer Overload Ratings
A.6.3. Transmission System Outages54
A.6.4. Distribution System54
A.6.5. Contingency Monitoring54
A.6.6. Special Protection Schemes55
A.6.7. Network Changes
A.6.8. Transmission Reinforcements55
A.7. SEMC Decisions Relating to GOR
Appendix B. Northern Ireland Installed Onshore Wind Capacity
Appendix C. Northern Ireland Installed Offshore Wind Capacity
Appendix D. Northern Ireland Network Reinforcements
Appendix E. Key Terms

1. SUMMARY

On 25th October 2011 the System Operator for Northern Ireland (SONI) launched a consultation entitled, "Generator Connection Process; ITC Methodology to determine FAQs and Generator Output Reductions Analysis." This paper consulted on the following two areas:

- SONI's proposal to implement a policy of firm and non-firm transmission access rights for generator connections in Northern Ireland (NI) including a proposed Incremental Transfer Capability (ITC) methodology to calculate Firm Access Quantities (FAQ)
- 2. SONI's proposal to provide an estimate of the potential incidences of Generator Output Reduction (GOR) at each transmission node in Northern Ireland including indicative constraint and curtailment figures for sample study years

Issues raised by respondents in relation to FAQ allocation and the ITC methodology were addressed in a subsequent paper published on 20th December 2012 entitled, "Generator Connection Process; Allocation of Transmission FAQ in Northern Ireland and ITC Methodology to determine FAQs." A decision paper was subsequently published 22 July 2013.

While the October 2011 paper covered both FAQ and GOR processes SONI decided that for the December 2012 paper it was not "...appropriate at this stage to conclude the discussions regarding generator output reductions as there is still a significant amount of ongoing consideration by both Industry and Regulators."

In September 2013, SONI published a paper entitled, "Generator Output Reductions; Calculation methodology, assumptions applied and Northern Ireland results for 2014 and 2020." This paper concluded the consultation process by addressing all the outstanding issues with regard to Generator Output Reductions and advising all parties of SONIs next steps in fully implementing revised processes. More specifically the following topics were addressed:

- Issues raised by respondents specifically on the GOR analysis presented in the October 2011 consultation
- SONIs response to these issues raised and how they will be accommodated going forward
- Consideration of recent Single Electricity Market Committee (SEMC) decisions
- Application of All Island modelling techniques and methodology for estimation of GOR forecasts in Northern Ireland
- Northern Ireland system and network assumptions to be applied
- Indicative Northern Ireland GOR results for 2014 and 2020 based on an all-island methodology

Since the September 2013 paper SONI have undertaken additional analyses to deliver GOR information for the intervening years between 2014 and 2020. The information in this paper clearly identifies estimated GOR for 2014 through to 2020 and will conclude the GOR analysis for Northern Ireland.

In addition, SONI have also established revised working practices with NIE so that FAQ allocation along with GOR Reports are incorporated into each new or revised connection offer issued by either SONI (transmission) or NIE (distribution). SONI and NIE will amend the Transmission Interface Agreement (TIA) to reflect the new working arrangements and these agreed amendments to the TIA will be submitted to UREGNI for approval.

2. INTRODUCTION

This paper follows up on the "Generator Output Reductions; Calculation methodology, assumptions applied and Northern Ireland results for 2014 and 2020" paper issued by SONI in September 2013. The intention is to provide GOR information for 2014 and 2020 including the intervening years so that industry participants can have clear estimates of GOR at various transmission nodes across Northern Ireland over this period.

Important SEMC decisions in relation to the treatment of constraints, constraint groups and curtailment have been published since the original consultation paper in 2011. The policies affecting GOR are summarised in Section 3.

In Section 4, the methodology for GOR analysis in Northern Ireland is presented. This is harmonised with EirGrid Gate 3 Constraint Reports. Information on the different scenarios employed, risks associated with the modelling as well as any changes to the models since the publication of "Generator Output Reductions; Calculation methodology, assumptions applied and Northern Ireland results for 2014 and 2020" in September 2013 are highlighted. Estimated GOR for 2014 through to 2020 for Northern Ireland are presented along with details of how to interpret the results in this report.

Details on modelling assumptions can be found in the Appendices.

3. RECENT SEMC PUBLICATIONS

Since the initial consultation paper, the SEMC have consulted on and published key decisions relating to GOR both in dispatch and in the SEM. Specific SEMC papers include:

- SEM-11-105: "Principles of Dispatch and the Design of the Market Schedule in the Trading and Settlement Code"¹
- SEM-13-012: "Constraint Groups arising from SEM-11-105"²
- SEM-13-010: "Treatment of Curtailment in Tie-Break Situations"

A summary of the decisions affecting modelling and analysis of GOR in the SEM are presented below:

Constraints: Generation which best alleviates a specific constraint is reduced as a priority.

Constraints in a Tie-Break Situation: Outside of constraint groups, generation is reduced on a prorata basis. Within a constraint group, generation is reduced on a grand-fathered basis in the following order – non-firm, partially firm and firm generation.

Constraint Groups: Two constraint groups have been identified on an All Island basis, the first in Donegal and the second in the South-West of Ireland. The constraint group in Donegal exists today, with the constraint group in the South West of Ireland coming into effect when the new 220kV stations are built in the region. No constraint group has been identified in Northern Ireland.

Curtailment: Pro-rata allocation of energy to be curtailed.

Details of how these rules have been translated to the All Island PROMOD IV model can be found in Appendix A.7.

¹ <u>SEM-11-105</u>: Treatment of Price Taking Generation in Tie Breaks in Dispatch in the Single <u>Electricity Market and Associated Issues</u>

² <u>SEM-13-012</u>: Constraint Groups arising from <u>SEM-11-105</u> and <u>SEM-12-076</u>: Proposed Constraint Groups arising from <u>SEM-11-105</u>

³ <u>SEM-13-010: Treatment of Curtailment in Tie-Break Situations</u>

4. GENERATOR OUTPUT REDUCTION RESULTS

The software used to model the All Island network is the Ventyx PROMOD IV modelling package, which is a power system simulator used to analyse transmission system economics. It is a production cost modelling tool and uses optimisation algorithms to minimise generation costs while ensuring that operational, security and environmental constraints are met.

SONI have carried out an initial GOR study using All Island PROMOD IV models for the years 2014 to 2020 to provide indicative GOR results for before and after the construction of the second North-South tie-line. In addition to the second North-South tie-line, the models also contain other Northern Ireland network reinforcements that SONI are aware of at this stage. These are listed in Appendix D. The modelling and analysis employs, as far as is possible, a common set of All Island assumptions which have also been used in EirGrid's Gate 3 Constraints Reports. The modelling assumptions are presented in Appendix A.

Northern Ireland GOR scenarios and results for 2014 to 2020 are presented on the following basis. The level of GOR that controllable wind generation in Northern Ireland might expect to experience in the seven study years is reported on a Northern Ireland system wide and nodal basis. Northern Ireland is not modelled as a Constraint Group therefore in a tie-break situation controllable wind is reduced on a pro-rata basis for transmission constraints.

4.1. SCENARIOS

For onshore wind generation in Northern Ireland, only one generation build out rate is assumed in this study. It is based on a "best estimate" approach where SONI use the latest generator connection information from NIE to determine the likely generation to connect during each study year. SONI also take into consideration renewable energy targets for 2020, hence the onshore wind installed by 2020 should allow these renewable energy targets to be met. A complete list of Northern Ireland wind generation connection assumptions on a nodal basis for each study year is provided in Appendix A.

For offshore wind and tidal generation in Northern Ireland, two build out rates are assumed in this study. Scenario A assumes that the capacity of offshore wind and tidal generation connected will allow 2020 renewable targets to be met (40% renewable generation by 2020). Scenario B assumes that 100% of the expected capacity of offshore wind and tidal generation will connect by 2020 and therefore exceed renewable energy targets (approx. 45% renewable generation by 2020). Details can be found in Appendix A.1.2, Appendix B. and Appendix C.

For Ireland, only one wind generation build out rate has been employed. The installed wind capacity for Ireland is based on build out Scenario 2 employed in the Gate 3 Constraints Reports⁴ which assumes 33% uptake of Gate 3 applicants in 2014 and 2020 which is sufficient to meet the 40% renewable targets by 2020.

4.2. **RISKS**

The GOR analysis study is based on a set of assumptions defined at the beginning of the modelling process. Any changes in assumptions such as network reinforcement, wind profile, generator capacity, connection dates and connection nodes, fuel prices, operational rules etc. could mean the actual levels of constraint and curtailment differ from those reported.

It is important to draw attention to the fact that Moyle and EWIC are modelled to export to GB only. Imports from GB are not modelled. Currently the interconnectors generally import energy from GB

⁴ Additional information on Scenario 2 can be found in EirGrid's Gate 3 Constraint Reports

therefore the interconnector flows reported by the model are likely to differ from actual interconnector flows. Hence the GOR lower level for curtailment presented in this report could be viewed as a 'best case' scenario and is dependent on the Transmission System Operators' (TSO) ability to trade on the interconnectors.

4.3. UPDATES TO SEPTEMBER 2013 GOR MODELS

Tasked with carrying out GOR analysis for the intervening years between 2014 and 2020, SONI have taken this opportunity to update some key modelling assumptions that would impact the GOR results from 2014 to 2020 in relation to:

- Northern Ireland onshore wind assumptions
- Transmission Network constraints at Dungannon
- Transmission line outages for line uprates

Assumptions for the installed capacity of onshore wind generation in Northern Ireland were updated in November 2013 to reflect the most recent information available from NIE regarding likely connection dates for wind generation. Materially, this resulted in a reduction of 6MW installed capacity in 2014 and an additional 142MW in both 2020 scenarios as well as changes to assumed connection nodes. Details on nodal wind capacity assumptions can be found in Appendix B. The outcome of these changes are that the level of curtailment will differ compared to the results presented in the first GOR report published in 2013. The power flows on the 110kV double circuit between Omagh and Dungannon are restricted due to circuit breaker limitations in the Dungannon mesh for 2014 and 2015. The assumption is that this power flow restriction will be removed by 2016 when Tamnamore Phase 2 is completed.

SONI have incorporated estimated transmission line outages for circuit uprates into the models between 2014 and 2020. This reflects the lower circuit ratings, an outage period and the new upgraded circuit ratings. The transmission network assumptions have been updated for 2014. This will have an impact on constraint levels at certain nodes in Northern Ireland, in comparison with the first GOR report in 2013. The Coolkeeragh - Magherafelt 275kV double circuit uprate is assumed to be operational by 2018. Any transmission line outages associated with this uprate have not been modelled due to the complexity of the work involved, the length of time anticipated for such work and the uncertainty over how it will be managed. Any assumption could have a major impact on the GOR results.

Note that assumptions for Ireland remain unchanged, with reference to the Gate 3 constraint reports 2013.

4.4. INSTALLED WIND CAPACITY

The installed wind capacity for Northern Ireland is divided into the wind connected prior to study year and the wind assumed to connect during the study year. Figures include both onshore and offshore wind. The capacities of controllable and uncontrollable wind are also indicated.

Installed Wind Capacity								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Northern Ireland Wind Capacity								
Additional Wind (MW)	136	138	125	224	0	0	200	400
Existing Wind (MW)	541	677	815	940	1164	1164	1164	1364
Total Wind (MW)	677	815	940	1164	1164	1164	1364	1764
Of which is Controllable (MW)	592	720	837	1056	1056	1056	1256	1656
Ireland Wind Capacity								
Total Wind (MW)	2222	2266	3016	3113	3681	3734	3884	3884
All Island Wind Capacity								
Total Wind (NI + IE) (MW)	2899	3081	3956	4277	4845	4898	5248	5648

 Table 1: Installed Wind Capacity Assumptions

4.5. INTERPRETING RESULTS

The All Island PROMOD IV models for 2014 to 2020 are run, producing annual hourly conventional and renewable generation dispatches and interconnector export flows from the All Island system to GB. The results were post-processed and the model was re-ran to ensure wind generation reductions were applied as per SEMC rules. Total wind reduction is calculated by comparing the wind availability to the wind dispatch on a system level. For the hours where a wind reduction was required, the reduction is categorised as a constraint or a curtailment, depending on the reason for the reduction. The model will only apply wind reduction to generation that is deemed to be controllable.⁵

The model aims to reduce wind generation that has the greatest effect in alleviating a transmission constraint as a priority. In Northern Ireland, where a tie-break situation arises in relation to a transmission constraint, wind reduction is applied on a pro-rata basis as per SEMC rules. There are no constraint groups in Northern Ireland. In the event of a curtailment where all wind generation has an equal effect in alleviating the issue, the model will reduce wind generation on a pro-rata basis. Curtailment is shared between Northern Ireland and Ireland on the ratio of available controllable wind during the hour where the curtailment issue exists.

The wind constraint and curtailment results on a Northern Ireland system level and on a nodal basis are presented. For each node, a table of results shows the total capacity of wind connected at that node. This is broken down into the capacity of additional wind connecting during each study year/scenario as well as the capacity of controllable wind generation at that node. The annual wind energy available at that node is also provided for the study year/scenario and includes both controllable and uncontrollable generation. The amount of curtailed and constrained controllable energy is presented in terms of GWh and as a percentage of controllable energy available at that node. The wind energy reduction for both constraints and curtailment is also given. The results graph displays the tabulated results for each year/scenario.

⁵ All wind generators with a capacity greater than 5MW were deemed to be controllable except those wind farms known to have grid code derogations, including those connected before 1st April 2005.

Wind reduction as a result of curtailment is presented as a range, with a lower value and a higher value. The lower value represents the curtailment figure for controllable wind generation determined by the model. The higher value is derived by addingon an estimate of the wind energy exported on the interconnectors that would have otherwise have been curtailed. Therefore the upper range of curtailment results represents the amount of curtailment that was avoided due to exporting wind energy of the interconnectors. It is important to highlight this higher value as the model assumes that there is always capacity on the interconnectors to export to GB. Consequently the result for combined constraints and curtailment at the node is also presented as a range. In addition, all figures presented in this report are rounded to the nearest whole number. Where the model produces a result between 0% and 0.5%, this will be shown as \sim 0% in the report.

4.6. SYSTEM WIND GENERATION RESULTS

The overall system constraint and curtailment results for Northern Ireland for study years 2014 to 2020 are shown below. Results for Ireland are not provided. The results presented are expressed as a percentage of controllable available energy.

Overall Northern Ireland System Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Results								
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	4	~0	~0	1	~0	~0	0	0
Curtailment and Constraint (%)	4-5	0-1	1-4	1-3	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

Table 2: Overall Northern Ireland System Results

The following table details the amount of energy that is modelled as being exported on the interconnectors. This information is intended to give readers an insight into how the modelling of the interconnectors influence the results of the study. A description of the interconnector modelling assumptions is provided in Appendix A.4. Note that the interconnector export capacities are derated by 20% in the model to account for lack of perfect foresight etc.

Overall Interconnector Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Interconnector Export Capacity								
Moyle (MW)	200	200	200	64	64	64	64	64
EWIC (MW)	424	424	424	424	424	424	424	424
Exports	Exports							
Moyle (GWh)	12	19	96	28	62	65	77	105
EWIC (GWh)	23	15	218	136	346	338	430	594
Total (GWh)	35	34	314	164	408	403	507	699

 Table 3: Overall Interconnector Results

4.7. NODAL RESULTS

The following sections provide the nodal results for Northern Ireland. Available wind energy figures correspond to the total energy available at each node. Constraint and curtailment figures refer to controllable wind only.

4.7.1. AGHYOULE

	Aghyoule – Wind Generation Results							
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
	Wind Capacity at Node							
Additional Wind (MW)	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	68	68	83	83	83	83	83	83
Total Wind (MW)	68	83	83	83	83	83	83	83
Of which is Controllable (MW)	54	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
Results	Results							
Available Energy (GWh)	172	210	210	208	211	211	211	211
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0-1	0-1	2-8	1-4	5-11	5-11	6-13	10-18
Constrained Energy (GWh)	1	~0	0	4	3	~0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	1-2	0-1	2-8	5-8	8-14	5-11	6-13	10-18
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	1	~0	0	2	1	~0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	1-2	0-1	1-4	2-4	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10
	-	Table 4:	Aahvou	ıle Resu	lts			



Figure 1: Aghyoule results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.2. A NTRIM							
	Antrin	n – Win	d Gener	ation R	esults		
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A
Wind Capacity at Node							
Additional Wind (MW)			2	5	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)			0	2	7	7	7
Total Wind (MW)			2	7	7	7	7
Of which is Controllable (MW)			0	0	0	0	0
Results							
Available Energy (GWh)			5	17	18	18	18
Curtailed Energy (GWh)			0	0	0	0	0
Constrained Eneroy (GWh)			0	0	0	0	0

Curtailed + Constrained

Curtailment + Constraint (%)

Energy (GWh) Curtailment (%)

Constraint (%)

Table 5: Antrim Results



Figure 2: Antrim results for 2014 to 2020

Scenario

В

	Ballyme	ena – W	ind Gen	eration	Results	5		
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenar B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	5	5	11	14	14	14	14	14
Total Wind (MW)	5	11	14	14	14	14	14	14
Of which is Controllable (MW)	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	13	28	34	34	34	35	35	35
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0	~0	0-1	~0	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-2
Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	~0	0-1	~0	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-2
Curtailment (%)	0	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	0	~0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	0	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.3. BALLYMENA

Table 6: Ballymena Results



Figure 3: Ballymena results for 2014 to 2020

nario

Carnmoney – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
Total Wind (MW)	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
Of which is Controllable (MW)	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-2	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-3	2-4
Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-2	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-3	2-4
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.4. CARNMONEY





Figure 4: Carnmoney results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.5. CASTLEREAGH⁶

Castlereagh – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario	2020 Scenario
Wind Capacity at Node							A	D
	1						000	000
Additional Wind (WW)							200	600
Existing Wind (MW)							0	0
Total Wind (MW)							200	600
Of which is Controllable (MW)							200	600
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)							648	1943
Curtailed Energy (GWh)							23-48	106-202
Constrained Energy (GWh)							0	0
Curtailed + Constrained							00.40	100.000
Energy (GWh)							23-48	106-202
Curtailment (%)							4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)							0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)							4-8	5-10





Figure 5: Castlereagh results for 2020

⁶ Castlereagh 275kV node or another appropriate connection node for Northern Ireland East coast offshore wind generation.

4.7.6.	C OLERAINE ⁷

Coleraine – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	201 5	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)	45	108	108	108	108	108	108	108		
Total Wind (MW)	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108		
Of which is Controllable (MW)	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)	276	275	274	272	276	276	276	276		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0-2	0-2	3-12	1-5	7-17	7-16	10-20	14-27		
Constrained Energy (GWh)	20	~0	0	3	1	~0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	20-22	0-2	3-12	4-8	8-18	7-16	10-20	14-27		
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10		
Constraint (%)	8	~0	0	1	1	~0	0	0		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	8-9	0-1	1-4	1-3	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10		

Table 9: Coleraine Results



Figure 6: Coleraine results for 2014 to 2020

⁷ Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

Coolkeeragh – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)			12	0	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)			0	12	12	12	12	12		
Total Wind (MW)			12	12	12	12	12	12		
Of which is Controllable (MW)			12	12	12	12	12	12		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)			31	30	31	31	31	31		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)			0-1	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3		
Constrained Energy (GWh)			0	1	0	~0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)			0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3		
Curtailment (%)			1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10		
Constraint (%)			0	2	0	~0	Ō	0		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)			1-4	2-4	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10		

 Table 10: Coolk eeragh Results



Figure 7: Coolkeeragh results for 2014 to 2020

Drumnakelly – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)		5	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)		0	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Total Wind (MW)		5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Of which is Controllable (MW)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)		12	12	12	12	12	12	12		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Constrained Energy (GWh)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Curtailment (%)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Constraint (%)		0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Table 11: Drumnakelly Results



Figure 8: Drumnakelly results for 2014 to 2020

Drumquin – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)				89	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)				0	89	89	89	89		
Total Wind (MW)				89	89	89	89	89		
Of which is Controllable (MW)				89	89	89	89	89		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)				223	226	226	227	227		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)				1-5	6-14	6-14	8-17	12-24		
Constrained Energy (GWh)				5	3	~0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)				6-10	9-17	6-14	8-17	12-24		
Curtailment (%)				0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10		
Constraint (%)				2	1	~0	0	0		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)				2-4	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10		

Table 12: Drumquin Results



Figure 9: Drumquin results for 2014 to 2020

	Dunagannon – wind Generation Results											
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B				
Wind Capacity at Node												
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Existing Wind (MW)	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18				
Total Wind (MW)	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18				
Of which is Controllable (MW)	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18				
Results												
Available Energy (GWh)	45	45	44	44	45	45	45	45				
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-2	0-1	1-3	1-3	2-3	2-5				
Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	~0	0	0	0	~0	0	0				
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-2	0-1	1-3	1-3	2-3	2-5				
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10				
Constraint (%)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0				
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	0-1	1-2	1-4	0-2	3-6	4-7	4-8	5-10				

4.7.10. DUNGANNON





Figure 10: Dungannon results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.11. Eden

Eden – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Total Wind (MW)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Of which is Controllable (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Curtailment (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Constraint (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		





Figure 11: Eden results for 2014 to 2020

Enniskillen – Wind Generation Results										
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B		
Wind Capacity at Node										
Additional Wind (MW)	0	15	3	0	0	0	0	0		
Existing Wind (MW)	17	17	32	35	35	35	35	35		
Total Wind (MW)	17	32	35	35	35	35	35	35		
Of which is Controllable (MW)	17	32	32	32	32	32	32	32		
Results										
Available Energy (GWh)	43	81	87	87	88	88	88	88		
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	0-1	1-4	0-2	2-5	2-5	3-6	4-9		
Constrained Energy (GWh)	2	~0	0	2	1	~0	0	0		
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~2	0-1	1-4	2-4	3-6	2-5	3-6	4-9		
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10		
Constraint (%)	1	~0	0	2	1	~0	0	0		
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	1-2	0-1	1-4	2-4	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10		

 Table 15: Enniskillen Results



Figure 12: Enniskillen results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.13.	GORT
4.7.10.	

Gort – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)			90	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)			0	90	90	90	90	90
Total Wind (MW)			90	90	90	90	90	90
Of which is Controllable (MW)			90	90	90	90	90	90
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)			227	225	228	229	229	229
Curtailed Energy (GWh)			2-10	1-5	6-14	6-14	8-17	12-24
Constrained Energy (GWh)			~0	0	0	2	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)			2-10	1-5	6-14	8-16	8-17	12-24
Curtailment (%)			1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)			0	0	0	1	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)			1-4	0-2	3-6	4-7	4-8	5-10

Table 16: Gort Results



Figure 13: Gort results for 2014 to 2020

Killymallaght – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	21	21	21	36	36	36	36	36
Total Wind (MW)	21	21	36	36	36	36	36	36
Of which is Controllable (MW)	21	21	36	36	36	36	36	36
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	53	53	91	90	91	91	91	91
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	1-4	0-2	3-6	2-6	3-7	5-10
Constrained Energy (GWh)	3	~0	~0	2	0	~0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~3	~0	1-4	2-4	3-6	2-6	3-7	5-10
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	7	~0	0	2	0	~0	0	Ō
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	7-8	0-1	1-4	2-4	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

Table 17: Killymallaght Results



Figure 14: Killymallaght results for 2014 to 2020

⁸ Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

Larne – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Total Wind (MW)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Of which is Controllable (MW)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-1	0-1	0-2	1-2	1-2	1-3
Constrained Energy (GWh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-1	0-1	0-2	1-2	1-2	1-3
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	0	~0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.15. LARNE





Figure 15: Larne results for 2014 to 2020

	Limavady – Wind Generation Results							
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	73	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	38	38	38	38	111	111	111	111
Total Wind (MW)	38	38	38	111	111	111	111	111
Of which is Controllable (MW)	12	12	12	84	84	84	84	84
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	96	96	96	278	281	282	282	282
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-1	1-4	6-14	6-13	8-17	12-23
Constrained Energy (GWh)	2	0	~0	8	1	~0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~2	~0	0-1	9-12	7-15	6-13	8-17	12-23
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	8	0	~0	4	1	~0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	8-9	0-1	1-4	4-6	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.16. LIMAVADY⁹

Table 19: Limavady Results



Figure 16: Limavady results for 2014 to 2020

⁹ Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

L	Lisaghmore – Wind Generation Results							
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Total Wind (MW)	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Of which is Controllable (MW)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	45	45	44	44	45	45	45	45
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	0-2	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-3	2-4
Constrained Energy (GWh)	3	~0	0	1	0	~0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~3	~0	0-2	1-2	1-2	1-2	1-3	2-4
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	7	~0	0	2	0	~0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	7-8	0-1	1-4	2-4	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.17. LISAGHMORE¹⁰





Figure 17: Lisaghmore results for 2014 to 2020

¹⁰ Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

Loguestown – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)				12	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)				0	12	12	12	12
Total Wind (MW)				12	12	12	12	12
Of which is Controllable (MW)				12	12	12	12	12
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)				30	31	31	31	31
Curtailed Energy (GWh)				0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3
Constrained Energy (GWh)				~0	~0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)				0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3
Curtailment (%)				0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)				1	1	0	Ō	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)				1-3	4-7	3-6	4-8	5-10

 Table 21: Loguestown Results



Figure 18: Loguestown results for 2014 to 2020

Magherakeel – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	51	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
Total Wind (MW)	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
Of which is Controllable (MW)	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)	314	313	313	310	314	314	315	315
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0-2	0-2	3-14	1-6	9-20	8-19	11-24	17-33
Constrained Energy (GWh)	2	1	0	~0	0	~0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	2-4	1-3	3-14	1-6	9-20	8-19	11-24	17-33
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	1	~0	0	0	0	~0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	1-2	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

4.7.19. MAGHERAKEEL

Table 22: Magherakeel Results



Figure 19: Magherakeel results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.20. IVIID ANTR	IM							
Mid Antrim – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node							-	
Additional Wind (MW)		37	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)		0	37	37	37	37	37	37
Total Wind (MW)		37	37	37	37	37	37	37
Of which is Controllable (MW)		37	37	37	37	37	37	37
Results								• •
Available Energy (GWh)		94	94	93	94	94	94	94
Curtailed Energy (GWh)		0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	2-6	4-7	5-10
Constrained Energy (GWh)		~0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)		0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	2-6	4-7	5-10
Curtailment (%)		0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)		~0	0	0	0	0	0	0

20 -

Curtailment + Constraint (%)

1-4 Table 23: Mid Antrim Results

0-2

0-1

3-6

3-6



Figure 20: Mid Antrim results for 2014 to 2020

5-10

4-8

4.7.21.	NEWRY
---------	-------

Newry – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)			12	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)			0	12	12	12	12	12
Total Wind (MW)			12	12	12	12	12	12
Of which is Controllable (MW)			12	12	12	12	12	12
Results								
Available Energy (GWh)			29	29	29	29	29	29
Curtailed Energy (GWh)			0-1	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3
Constrained Energy (GWh)			0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)			0-1	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	2-3
Curtailment (%)			1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)			0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)			1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10

Table 24: Newry Results



Figure 21: Newry results for 2014 to 2020

Omagh – Wind Generation Results									
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B	
Wind Capacity at Node									
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Existing Wind (MW)	126	126	96	96	96	96	96	96	
Total Wind (MW)	126	126	96	96	96	96	96	96	
Of which is Controllable (MW)	108	108	78	78	78	78	78	78	
Results									
Available Energy (GWh)	321	320	243	241	244	244	245	245	
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0-2	0-2	2-9	1-4	5-12	5-12	7-15	11-21	
Constrained Energy (GWh)	2	1	0	~0	0	~0	0	0	
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	2-4	1-3	2-9	1-4	5-12	5-12	7-15	11-21	
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	
Constraint (%)	1	~0	0	0	0	~0	0	0	
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	1-2	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	

4.7.22. OMAGH





Figure 22: Omagh results for 2014 to 2020

4.7.23. SLIEVE KIRK¹¹

Slieve Kirk – Wind Generation Results								
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B
Wind Capacity at Node								
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Wind (MW)	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
Total Wind (MW)	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
Of which is Controllable (MW)	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
Results	•	•			-			
Available Energy (GWh)	188	187	187	185	188	188	188	188
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	0-1	0-1	2-8	1-4	5-12	5-11	7-14	10-20
Constrained Energy (GWh)	14	~0	~0	4	0	1	0	0
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	14-15	0-1	2-8	5-8	5-12	6-12	7-14	10-20
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
Constraint (%)	7	~0	0	2	0	~0	0	0
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	7-8	0-1	1-4	2-4	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10
	Та	able 26:	Slieve I	Kirk Res	ults			



Figure 23: Slieve Kirk results for 2014 to 2020

¹¹ Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

Springtown – Wind Generation Results									
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B	
Wind Capacity at Node									
Additional Wind (MW)				45	0	0	0	0	
Existing Wind (MW)				0	45	45	45	45	
Total Wind (MW)				45	45	45	45	45	
Of which is Controllable (MW)				45	45	45	45	45	
Results									
Available Energy (GWh)				113	115	115	115	115	
Curtailed Energy (GWh)				0-2	3-7	3-7	4-9	6-12	
Constrained Energy (GWh)				2	0	1	0	0	
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)				2-4	3-7	4-8	4-9	6-12	
Curtailment (%)				0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	
Constraint (%)				2	0	~0	Ō	Ō	
Curtailment + Constraint (%)				2-4	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	

Table 27: Springtown Results



Figure 24: Springtown results for 2014 to 2020

4.1.23. JIRADANE	4.7.25.	STRABANE ¹²
------------------	---------	------------------------

Strabane – Wind Generation Results									
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B	
Wind Capacity at Node									
Additional Wind (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Existing Wind (MW)	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	
Total Wind (MW)	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	
Of which is Controllable (MW)	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	
Results									
Available Energy (GWh)	70	70	70	69	70	70	70	70	
Curtailed Energy (GWh)	~0	~0	1-2	0-1	2-4	1-3	2-4	3-6	
Constrained Energy (GWh)	4	~0	0	1	0	~0	0	0	
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)	~4	~0	1-2	1-2	2-4	1-3	2-4	3-6	
Curtailment (%)	0-1	0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-7	5-10	
Constraint (%)	7	~0	0	2	0	~0	Ō	Ō	
Curtailment + Constraint (%)	7-8	0-1	1-4	2-4	3-6	3-6	4-7	5-10	

 Table 28: Strabane Results



Figure 25: Strabane results for 2014 to 2020

¹² Increase in constraint levels due to updated network reinforcement assumptions, as discussed in Section 4.3

Tremoge – Wind Generation Results									
Year/Scenario	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 Scenario A	2020 Scenario B	
Wind Capacity at Node									
Additional Wind (MW)		60	18	0	0	0	0	0	
Existing Wind (MW)		0	60	78	28	28	28	28	
Total Wind (MW)		60	78	78	28	28	28	28	
Of which is Controllable (MW)		55	73	73	22	22	22	22	
Results									
Available Energy (GWh)		152	198	196	199	199	199	199	
Curtailed Energy (GWh)		0-1	2-8	1-4	5-12	5-11	7-14	10-20	
Constrained Energy (GWh)		1	~0	1	0	2	0	0	
Curtailed + Constrained Energy (GWh)		1-2	2-8	2-5	5-12	7-13	7-14	10-20	
Curtailment (%)		0-1	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	
Constraint (%)		1	0	~0	0	~0	0	0	
Curtailment + Constraint (%)		1-2	1-4	0-2	3-6	3-6	4-8	5-10	

Table 29: Tremoge Results



Figure 26: Tremoge results to 2020

Appendix A. ALL ISLAND MODELLING ASSUMPTIONS

The assumptions employed in the All Island PROMOD IV models for this GOR analysis are joint EirGrid and SONI assumptions, harmonised with EirGrid's 2013 Gate 3 Constraint Reports. The assumptions presented are:

- Generation
- Demand
- Fuel and Carbon Prices
- Interconnection
- Operational Rules
- Transmission Network

A.1. GENERATION

A.1.1. WIND GENERATION

For the purposes of this model, it is assumed that there is perfect foresight of the output of wind powered generators. This is a slightly optimistic assumption because in real-time operations there is usually some differential between forecasted and actual wind powered generation. However, the option of assuming little or no forecasting ability was thought to be unrealistic given the current level of research and development activity in the area. The assumption of 'perfect foresight' could lead to slightly reduced curtailment levels in comparison to what might be observed in real life, as a result of conventional thermal generation being kept on-line to ramp up in the event that the wind power output is lower than forecasted.

A.1.1.1. WIND REGIONS

For modelling purposes, the island has been split into different wind regions. The wind regions and associated capacity factors for 2008 employed in the studies are listed in Table 30. By using regional wind power profiles in the studies it is possible to account for the geographical variation of wind power across the island. Evidently, this assumption does not take into account possible variations in wind power within each region and it is fair to say that some wind farm sites may have above average wind conditions while others may have below average conditions. But since it is the impacts that constraints and curtailment have on the transmission system and the All Island power system operation that are of interest, it is considered reasonable to assume regional profiles will capture the average behaviour of wind in an area.

Wi	nd Regions	2008 Capacity Factor	
	A	32.8%	
	В	30.2%	
	C & H1	28.6%	
Ireland	D	28.1%	
	E, F & I	33.3%	
	G & J	31.5%	
	K & H2	30.9%	
	Offshore - East Coast	36.9%	
Northown Indoned	NI	29.2%	
	Offshore - East Coast	36.9%	

Table 30: Wind generation capacity factors for the wind regions

In Ireland and Northern Ireland, the East Coast offshore wind profile employed was based on amalgamating the 2008 wind profiles of several onshore wind generators that were located near the

coast and that had high capacity factors. This was necessary because there is no metered offshore wind data available with the exception of that from the Arklow Banks wind generator. The East Coast offshore wind profile was created specifically for this project to simulate the potential offshore wind profiles and capacity factors in the future.

A.1.1.2. WIND PROFILES

The wind profiles for the base year and each future study year for both Ireland and Northern Ireland were created using historical data for 2008. The overall 2008 wind generation capacity factor for Ireland was 31.7% and this was found to be close to average capacity factor from 2004-2009. Given that the 2008 capacity factor is very close to (and marginally higher than) the five year average, it is believed that it is a suitable 'wind year' to reflect what has been historically observed.

It is recognised that developments in wind turbine technology is making higher capacity factors theoretically possible for some projects. However this is balanced against some planning restrictions on turbine tower heights and the argument that some of the best wind sites have already been developed. Taking all this into consideration, it is assumed that on balance, it is reasonable to use the historical wind data as a basis for future wind profiles for the purposes of this GOR study.

Wind generation on the island was modelled in the GOR analysis using an hourly wind power series at every transmission node where wind generation is connected. The wind at each node will be categorised based on FAQ and controllability. In Ireland, wind generation was also categorised based on Gate and connection status (i.e. temporary or permanent). These factors will allow for correct application of GOR.

In Northern Ireland, the wind profile consists of metered wind generator data (on an export only basis) recorded in 30 minute intervals from generators with Maximum Export Capacity (MEC) greater than 5MW and only those connected and operational at the beginning of 2008. The data was translated into an hourly profile by selecting every other data record with the aim of trying to preserve peaks and troughs in generation. Northern Ireland is modelled as one single wind region with the same wind profile applied to all wind farms except offshore wind, which had a higher capacity factor applied.

EirGrid maintains a database which contains the metered output at 15 minute intervals for every wind powered generator in Ireland. By amalgamating the output of the wind generators in a region which have been in commission for a full calendar year it was possible to build up annual regional wind profiles with hourly values.

A.1.1.3. GENERATOR CONTROLLABILITY

It was assumed that there is full compliance with wind farm controllability requirements on the island. It was assumed that all generators with an MEC greater than 5MW were controllable except those wind farms known to have grid code derogations, including those connected before 1st April 2005. The GOR methodology takes into account all uncontrollable wind generation and does not include these generators in any output reductions.

A.1.1.4. WIND GENERATION BUILD OUT RATE

Table 31 shows a summary of the expected wind build out rate, both onshore and offshore, for both Ireland and Northern Ireland for 2014 to 2020.

Voar	Scenario	Wind Capacity (MW)					
i cai	Steffallo	NI	IE	All Island			
2014	N/A	677	2222	2899			
2015	N/A	815	2266	3081			
2016	N/A	940	3016	3956			
2017	N/A	1164	3113	4277			
2018	N/A	1164	3681	4845			
2019	N/A	1164	3734	4898			
2020	A – 40% Renewables	1364	3884	5248			
2020	B – 45% Renewables	1764	3884	5648			

Table 31: Overview of All Island wind capacities for 2014 and 2020



Figure 27: Installed wind capacity assumptions for 2014 to 2020

For each study year, generators with an estimated connection date on or before September 30th of the study year were included in the analysis from the start of that year. Generators with an estimated shallow connection date between October 1st and December 31st were added to the model at the start of the subsequent study year.

For onshore wind generation in Northern Ireland, only one generation build out rate is assumed in this study. It is based on a "best estimate" approach where SONI use the latest generator connection information from NIE to determine the likely generation to connect during each study year. SONI also take into consideration renewable energy targets for 2020, hence the onshore wind installed by 2020 should allow these renewable energy targets to be met. A complete list of Northern Ireland wind generation connection assumptions on a nodal basis for each study year is provided in Appendix B. and Appendix C.

For offshore wind generation in Northern Ireland, two build out rates are assumed in this study. Scenario A assumes that the capacity of offshore wind generation connected will allow 2020 renewable targets to be met (40% renewable generation by 2020). Scenario B assumes that 100% of the expected capacity of offshore wind generation will connect by 2020 and therefore exceed renewable energy targets (45% renewable generation by 2020).

Year	Scenario	Capacity (MW)
2020	A – 40% Renewables	200
2020	B – 45% Renewables	600

 Table 32: Northern Ireland offshore wind generation assumptions

For Ireland, only one wind generation build out rate has been employed. The installed wind capacity for Ireland is based on build out Scenario 2 employed in the Gate 3 Constraints Reports¹³ which assumes 33% uptake of Gate 3 applicants for 2014 to 2020 which is sufficient to meet the 40% renewable targets by 2020.

A.1.2. TIDAL GENERATION

Table 33 shows the build out rate for tidal generation off the North coast of Northern Ireland. For tidal generation in Northern Ireland, two build out rates are assumed in this study. Scenario A assumes that the capacity of tidal generation connected will allow 2020 renewable targets to be met (40% renewable generation by 2020). Scenario B assumes that 100% of the expected capacity of tidal generation will connect by 2020 and therefore exceed renewable energy targets (45% renewable generation by 2020).

Year	Scenario	Capacity (MW)
2020	A – 40% Renewables	160
2020	B – 45% Renewables	200

 Table 33: Northern Ireland tidal generation assumptions

A.1.3. CONVENTIONAL GENERATION

The portfolio of thermal conventional generation in both Ireland and Northern Ireland included in the All Island model was taken from the SEM Generator Dataset 2011/2012 published by the Regulatory Authorities on the All Island Project website.¹⁴

The operating characteristics of the existing conventional generation employed in the modelling were principally based on this SEM Generator Dataset. This data provided information such as minimum and maximum operating levels, capacity states, heat rates, ramp rates, fuel type, and minimum up/down times for each conventional generator which were all fed into the model. In some instances, minor changes to the dataset were made due to additional information becoming available to the TSOs. The reserve response capability of units was based on information available from the Operations departments in EirGrid and SONI.

With the exception of the Endesa Great Island CCGT and Derrycarney CCGT for which a set of characteristics were submitted by the project developers, there was no definitive set of operating characteristics available for the other Gate 3 conventional generators in Ireland. Hence, for these generators, the operating characteristics were derived from characteristics of similarly sized units or similar unit types.

¹³ Additional information on Scenario 2 can be found in EirGrid's Gate 3 Constraint Reports

¹⁴ www.allislandproject.org

A.1.3.1. CONVENTIONAL GENERATOR RETIREMENT

Table 34 shows conventional generation in Northern Ireland that is due to be decommissioned during the study period.

Generating Unit	MEC (MW)	Decommission Date
Ballylumford ST4	170	31-Dec-2015
Ballylumford ST5	170	31-Dec-2015
Ballylumford ST6	170	31-Dec-2015

Table 34: Northern Ireland Conventional units due to be decommissioned during study period

Table 35 shows conventional generation in Ireland that is due to be decommissioned during the study period.

Generating Unit	MEC (MW)	Decommission Date
Great Island 1	54	31-Dec-2013
Great Island 2	49	31-Dec-2013
Great Island 3	113	31-Dec-2013
Tarbert 1	54	31-Dec-2020
Tarbert 2	54	31-Dec-2020
Tarbert 3	240	31-Dec-2020
Tarbert 4	240	31-Dec-2020

Table 35: Ireland Conventional units due to be decommissioned during study period

There is speculation that some additional older plant may retire during the study period but since no official data has been received to confirm this, it is assumed that all other units continue to operate for the duration of the study.

A.1.3.2. CONVENTIONAL GENERATOR COMMISSIONING

For Northern Ireland it is assumed that there are no new conventional units to be commissioned during the study period.

Table 36 shows conventional generation in Ireland that is due to be commissioned during the study period.

Unit	Capacity (MW)	Commissioning Date
Ballakelly	445	01/01/2016
Caulstown	58	01/05/2014
Derrycarney	297	01/01/2018
Cahernagh	100	01/01/2018
Rallapane	40	01/01/2016
Ballymakaily	116	01/01/2016
Great Island CCGT	431	01/01/2014
Nore OCGT	98	01/01/2016
Suir OCGT	98	01/01/2017
Cuileen OCGT	98	01/01/2017

 Table 36: Ireland Conventional units due to be commissioned during study period

A.1.3.3. CONVENTIONAL GENERATION OUTAGES

Scheduled and forced conventional generator outages are modelled in PROMOD IV using Scheduled Outage Durations (SODs) and Forced Outage Probabilities (FOPs).

For Northern Ireland, the SODs were provided by the SONI Near Time department. These are based on information from generators on expected outage dates for maintenance. The FOPs employed for Northern Ireland were based on the high FOP scenario for Northern Ireland generators used in the All Island Generation Capacity Statement 2012-2021.

For Ireland, the SODs and FOPs employed were the 'Median' values provided by the generators for the preparation of the Generation Capacity Statement 2012-2021.

A.1.3.4. CONVENTIONAL GENERATION EMISSION LIMITS

For the purposes of this GOR study, it has been assumed that for 2020, the coal units at Kilroot (K1 and K2) will have to comply with Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) from the European Commission. This affects the annual NOX emission limits for these units and has been modelled as a cap on their annual energy output to an estimated value agreed with AES Kilroot.

A.1.4. STORAGE UNITS

Currently in Northern Ireland there are no storage units connected to the system. Although there could be a Compressed Air Energy Storage Unit (CAES) operating on the Northern Ireland system by 2020, it has not been taken into account in this study.

In Ireland the existing 292MW Turlough Hill plant is assumed to be fully operational from the beginning of the first study year. In addition the Knocknagreenan pumped storage project in the Southwest so this has also been included in the study from 01/01/2014.

Pumped Storage Plant	Minimum Capacity (MW)	Maximum Capacity (MW)	Round-Trip Efficiency (%)
Turlough Hill	5	292	70
Knocknagreenan	5	73	70

Table 37: Pumped storage plant characteristics for the constraints modelling

A.1.5. SMALL SCALE GENERATION

In the constraints model, Small Scale Generation (SSG) refers to small non-dispatchable, embedded Biomass, Biogas, Landfill Gas (LFG), Hydro, Tidal, Industrial (diesel generators) and Combined Heat and Power (CHP) generation. These types of generators typically have small installed capacities and are therefore often modelled collectively as fixed profiles. As these units are non-dispatchable, they were assigned hourly generation profiles and modelled as load modifier transactions in PROMOD IV and netted off the hourly demand profile.

Small scale generation in Northern Ireland includes Biomass, Biogas, LFG, Hydro, Tidal and CHP units.

The small scale generation profiles were created from 2011 metered generator data (on a sent out basis) from NIE. The total MEC of small scale non-dispatchable generation modelled is shown in Table 38. The profiles for these small scale units are grouped according to the generation type and are fixed for each study year.

Small scale wind generation in Northern Ireland has not been explicitly modelled. Small scale wind accounts for around 20MW in Northern Ireland and has not been accounted for in the models due to uncertainty in mapping small wind generators to transmission nodes.

Small Scale Generation		Capacity (MW)					
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Biomass and Biogas	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
LFG	14	15	17	18	19	21	25
CHP	10	11	12	13	14	17	17
Hydro	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Tidal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Industrial	0	1	1	2	2	3	4
Other	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total MEC (MW)	31.3	34.3	37.3	40.3	42.3	48.3	53.3

Although connected at distribution level, these small scale units have been modelled as connected to the transmission system, with each unit being assigned to an 110kV node.

 Table 38: Northern Ireland small scale generation

SSG in Ireland includes Biomass, Hydro, CHP and industrial units. Table 39 details the assumed levels of installed pre-Gate 3, non-wind small-scale generation included in the constraints model. The non-wind small-scale generation capacities employed are consistent with the capacities assumed to be installed at the end of 2011 in the Generation Capacity Statement 2012-2021. The profiles were based on the historical capacity factors observed by these types of generators on the Irish system. A simple profile was generated based on this information and scaled up to the assumed installed capacity of the generation type for a given year.

Small-Scale Generation	Pre-Gate 3 Installed Capacity (MW)
Hydro	21
Biomass	56
CHP	141
Industrial	9
Total MEC (MW)	227

Table 39: Pre-Gate 3 non-wind SSG

A.1.6. BIOMASS PLANTS

There are two new 15MW biomass plants included for year 2020. These are modelled as dispatchable plant similar to other priority dispatch plant on the system.

Unit	Capacity (MW)	Commissioning Date
Maydown Biomass	15	Winter 2015/16
Belfast North Biomass	15	Winter 2017/18

 Table 40: Northern Ireland Biomass generation

A.1.7. WASTE TO ENERGY PLANTS

There are no waste-to-energy plants modelled in Northern Ireland. There are two waste-to-energy plants in Ireland modelled in the study. These plants are assumed to have priority dispatch since some of the waste input is classified as being renewable. The details of the plants are as follows.

Unit	Export Capacity (MW)	Node	Commissioning Date
Indaver Waste	15	Drybridge 110kV	Already energised
Poolbeg Waste	62	Ringsend 110kV	01/12/2015

Table 41: Waste-to-Energy plants

A.1.8. NON-GPA GENERATION IN IRELAND

The term Non Group Processing Approach (Non-GPA) refers to small, renewable and/or low carbon generators that fulfil public interest criteria and are therefore deemed eligible by the Commission for Energy Regulation (CER) for processing outside of the Group Processing Approach. The CER approved the following classes of technology for processing outside the group processing approach: Bioenergy, CHP, Autoproducers, Hydro, Ocean, Wave, Solar, Geothermal, Experimental/Emerging Technology (see the CER decision paper CER/09/099 for more information).

In cases where the non-GPA projects were greater than 5MW it was assumed that they were dispatchable and grid code compliant in regards minimum generation levels etc. Smaller projects were assumed to have fixed profiles based on their technology type. These profiles would have been based on the same data used to construct the SSG profiles.

The non-GPA projects that were modelled by EirGrid's Transmission Access Planning (TAP) department as part of the Gate 3 Incremental Transfer Capability (ITC) study 2012 were included in the model.

A.2. DEMAND

A.2.1. PEAK DEMAND AND ENERGY FORECAST

The future study year demand profiles for both Ireland and Northern Ireland were created using the Median Electricity Demand Forecast presented in Appendix A of the "All Island Generation Capacity Statement 2012-2021". Table 42 shows the annual Total Energy Requirement (TER) peak and energy for the study years 2014 to 2020.

Year I	Ir	eland	Northern Ireland	
rear	TER (GWh)	TER Peak (MW)	TER (GWh)	TER Peak (MW)
2014	28,359	4,931	9,617	1,871
2015	28,819	5,002	9,760	1,898
2016	29,219	5,064	9,906	1,925
2017	29,536	5,113	10,053	1,953
2018	29,859	5,163	10,203	1,982
2019	30,186	5,214	10,354	2,011
2020	30,668	5,290	10,508	2,040

Table 42: Summary of the Ireland and Northern Ireland TER peak and energy demand assumptions¹⁵

A.2.2. DEMAND PROFILE

The hourly system demand profiles employed for each study year for both Ireland and Northern Ireland were based on the historical demand profile for 2011.

The demand profile for Northern Ireland was created from 2011 metered generator data (on an export only basis) and does not include small scale generation as this data was unavailable at the time of data collection. The metered data was recorded in 30 minute intervals. The data was translated into an hourly profile by selecting every other data record with the aim of trying to preserve peaks and troughs in energy consumption.

The system demand for Ireland and Northern Ireland at each hour of the study years 2014 to 2020 was produced by scaling the 2011 historical hourly demand profiles such that the annual TER peak and energy for each future study year were as shown in Table 42.

A.2.3. LOAD DISTRIBUTION

In Northern Ireland, the load distribution is consistent with the winter peak cases employed in the "Ten Year Transmission Forecast Statement 2013-2023". There are no industrial loads modelled in Northern Ireland.

In Ireland the load distribution was based on data used in the Gate 3 Incremental Transfer Capability (ITC) studies by EirGrid's Transmission Access Planning (TAP) department. Industrial loads in Ireland are constant and also taken from the same winter peak case.

¹⁵ Note this is for a 52 week year i.e. 364 days; values in the model are scaled up to full year values

A.3. FUEL AND CARBON PRICES

As PROMOD IV operates with commitment and dispatch strategy to provide the most economic solution while satisfying all transmission system constraints, the fuel and carbon prices employed in the model are critical to the decision as to which generators are committed and dispatched. This in turn has a resulting impact on both curtailment and transmission constraint levels experienced by generators.

The coal, gas and carbon prices are based on the International Energy Association (IEA) World Energy Outlook (WEO) 2012. The prices for LSFO, DO and peat are based on information provided to the TSOs from third party organisations.

Fuel Type	Price
Gas	10.10 €/net GJ
Coal	3.59 €/net GJ
Low Sulphur Fuel Oil (LSFO)	13.21 €/net GJ
Distillate Oil (DO)	21.66 €/net GJ
Peat	3.18 €/net GJ
CO2	25 €/tCO2

Table 43: Fuel prices employed

The fuel and carbon prices were kept constant for each study year covered by the GOR studies.

The monthly price variations of gas are accounted for by using a historical monthly gas profile while keeping the gas price shown in Table 43 as the time-weighted average.

The PROMOD IV modelling tool factors in the cost of CO2 emissions when committing and dispatching generators.

Edenderry Peat plant's Public Service Obligation (PSO) expires in 2015. From 2016 onwards it is assumed that it will operate based on economic dispatch. Lough Ree and West Offaly Power have PSOs that are valid until 31/12/2019. From 2020 onwards it is assumed that they will also operate based on economic dispatch.

Dublin Bay has a long term fuel contract and is allowed to bid in lower costs to the SEM on account of this. For the purposes of this constraints modelling exercise, it is assumed that Dublin Bay's gas price is 65% of the gas price of other units. The unit will use the same fuel price as other units from 2018 onwards. This will more accurately reflect the merit order but it is not expected to have a large impact on constraints or curtailment.

A.4. INTERCONNECTION

Interconnection on the island consists of a tie line between Ireland and Northern Ireland plus two High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) interconnectors to GB referred to as the Moyle Interconnector and the EWIC. This section describes the assumptions and modelling methodology employed in the GOR studies.

A.4.1. NORTH-SOUTH TIE LINE

Ireland's electricity grid is connected to Northern Ireland via a double circuit 275kV line running from Louth to Tandragee. In addition to the main 275kV double circuit, there are two 110kV connections, one between Letterkenny in Co. Donegal and Strabane in Co. Tyrone, and the other between Corraclassy in Co. Cavan and Enniskillen in Co. Fermanagh. The purpose of these 110kV circuits is to provide support to either transmission system for certain conditions or in the event of an unexpected circuit outage. Phase shifting transformers in Strabane and Enniskillen are used to control the power flow under normal conditions.

EirGrid and NIE are currently developing a 400kV North-South Interconnector between Meath and Turleenan in Co. Tyrone, which for the purposes of these studies is assumed to connect in 2017.

Table 44 details the modelling assumptions employed with respect to North-South Interconnection power flows for the constraints model.

North-South Tie-Line Power Flow Assumptions

- Prior to the Meath-Tyrone 400kV Interconnection Development being built, the Louth-Tandragee Interconnector is assumed to be limited to flows of 200MW from South to North and 300MW from North to South.
- When the Meath-Tyrone 400kV Interconnection Development is in place, this limitation is removed.
- It is assumed that the Letterkenny-Strabane and Corraclassy-Enniskillen 110kV connections are not used to transfer power between the two control areas for the purposes of this constraints modelling exercise.

 Table 44: North-South tie-line power flow assumptions

A.4.2. MOYLE

The Moyle Interconnector, which went into commercial operation in 2002, connects the electricity grids of Northern Ireland and GB between Ballylumford and Auchencrosh in Scotland. It has a capacity of 500MW and but is currently limited to 250MW flow in either direction due to one of the cables being unavailable. Given the uncertainty around the timelines for repairing of the second cable it is assumed that the export capacity of Moyle stays at 250MW up until 2016.

In 2017 the export capacity from Northern Ireland to Scotland is reduced to 80MW for the duration of the study due to network limitations in GB. The import capacity remains at 250MW but this will not affect the modelling as imports are not modelled in this study.

A.4.3. EWIC

It is assumed that EWIC is modelled for all study years with a maximum export capacity of 530MW. The extra 30MW are to account for losses in the converter stations and on the cable.

A.4.4. INTERCONNECTOR MODELLING

For modelling purposes it is assumed that the export capacity of each interconnector is de-rated by 20% to account for trading imperfections etc. In other words the maximum export possible for the purposes of this modelling is 424MW on EWIC and 200/64MW on Moyle.

For all scenarios, Moyle and EWIC were allowed to export wind that would otherwise have been curtailed. There is an underlying assumption that GB does not have an excess of wind generation or transmission limitations at the same time and that it is capable of accepting the excess wind generation. Also note that for this study, the focus is on the generation output of wind farms and not on the production costs or market modelling.

A.5. OPERATIONAL RULES

This section outlines the operational rules employed in the constraints modelling. The operational rules cover System Non-Synchronous Penetration (SNSP), operational reserve requirements and minimum synchronous generation levels.

A.5.1. SYSTEM NON-SYNCHRONOUS PENETRATION

There is a requirement to limit the instantaneous penetration of asynchronous generation connected to the All Island system to ensure adequate frequency performance and dynamic stability. The following rule was implemented in the constraint model:

$$SNSP \ Limit \ge \frac{All \ Island \ Wind \ Generation + Interconnector \ Imports}{All \ Island \ Demand + Interconnector \ Exports}$$

Figure 28 shows the SNSP limits assumed for the study period. As can be seen, a limit of 50% is assumed for 2010 rising to 75% in 2019. The limit of 75% is maintained for all study years post 2019. Please note that there are risks associated with delivering these SNSP increases and this timeline is for GOR modelling purposed only. Further information is available from the DS3 work stream¹⁶.



Figure 28: Limits on the instantaneous asynchronous generation for constraints modelling

¹⁶ www.eirgrid.com

A.5.2. OPERATIONAL RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

In order to cater for the sudden loss of a large generator or the unexpected rapid reduction in wind generation, operating reserves are carried on conventional generators so that they can quickly increase their output to replace the lost generation and mitigate the risk of load shedding. To provide reserve, some generators are part-loaded i.e. are operated below their maximum output capability to provide a quick acting source of reserve.

The working assumption will be that enough Primary Operating Reserve (POR) will be provided to cover 75% of the loss of the largest infeed. In practice this varies in proportion to the largest infeed by output. For the purposes of modelling, a simplified assumption was made with regards to the largest infeed during day time and at night time. The reserve requirement was then calculated from this assumed largest infeed. Only POR will be modelled as it is assumed to be the most binding reserve constraint.

Negative reserve was modelled by assuming that some units were not dispatched all the way to their minimum generation at times of high wind. This type of reserve is modelled to simulate an ability to maintain frequency control should there be an unexpected increase in generation or decrease in demand which would cause the frequency to rise.

Operating	Reserve	Assumptions	

- The total All Island reserve requirement is assumed to be equal to 75% of the capacity of the largest unit on the system.
- This assumes that the 500MW East-West Interconnector is not the largest in-feed because imports are not modelled for the GOR analysis.
- 0.75*445MW = 333.75MW (daytime)
- 0.75*400MW = 300MW (weekend daytime)
- 0.75*300MW = 225MW (night time)
- It is assumed that wind is not curtailed to provide reserve.
- A total reserve figure of 100MW is assumed to be provided by the Moyle and EWIC.
- It is assumed that the Short Term Active Response (STAR) scheme provides 45MW of reserve between 7am and Midnight.
- It is assumed that in pumping mode, pumped storage units provide reserve equal to 100% of their MW pumping value.
- Each jurisdiction carries a minimum POR before the second NS is in place. Ireland carries 150MW and Northern Ireland carries 50MW. After the second North-South is in place the All Island reserve requirement is optimised over all generators on the island i.e. no jurisdictional requirements.
- Some of the reserve requirement is met by static reserve sources with the remainder being
 provided by spinning reserve sources. For Ireland, the spinning reserve requirement is 75MW
 when Turlough Hill is pumping between Midnight and 7am and rises to 105MW during the day
 when 45MW is assumed to come from STAR. Northern Ireland is assumed to have a constant
 50MW spinning reserve requirement for these studies.

 Table 45: Operating reserve assumptions

A.5.3. MINIMUM SYNCHRONOUS GENERATION REQUIREMENT

There is a requirement to have a minimum number of conventional generators synchronised at all times to provide inertia to the power system, ensure voltage stability and to ensure that network limitations (line loading and system voltages) are respected.

Table 46 details the assumptions employed with respect to the minimum conventional generation requirements for the constraints modelling.

	Minimum Conventional Generation Assumption	s ¹⁷
	Ensure that at least two large thermal units in the Dublin region are synchronised at all times.	Start – 2016 inclusive
Ireland	Ensure at least 5 large units are synchronised at all times (proxy for inertia constraints). In addition, the peat plants and Sealrock are also must run subject to conditions described later.	All years
	Ensure at least one unit from WG1, AD1, AD2, AT1, AT2, AT4, MRT or Great Island CCGT is synchronised during weekdays (defined in the model as 7am to midnight)	Start – 2018 inclusive
	The Edenderry peat plant is a priority dispatch.	Start – 2015 inclusive
	The West Offaly and Lough Ree peat plants are priority dispatch.	Start – 2019 inclusive
	The two Sealrock units are priority dispatch.	All years
	Other priority dispatch plant greater than 5MW MEC were modelled as per the hierarchy in SEM-11-062	All years
	Assume that 3 pump sets are on during the night	All years
Northern Ireland	Minimum of 3 conventional units must be synchronised at all times.	Start – 2016 inclusive
	Any 3 of B4, B5, B6, B10, B31, B32, C30, K1 or K2	
	The requirement for a minimum of 3 conventional units to be synchronised at all times is assumed to reduce to 2 units with the 400kV North-South Interconnecting tie-line. The units that are dispatched are: Any 2 of B10, B31, B32, C30, K1 or K2	2017 onwards

 Table 46: Minimum conventional generation requirement assumptions

¹⁷ The reduction in the minimum conventional generation requirement over the period of the study assumes that the appropriate capital projects required to manage reactive power can be delivered

A.6. TRANSMISSION NETWORK

The transmission network in Northern Ireland was based on SONI's latest network assumptions and All Island models used in EirGrid's Gate 3 Constraints Reports were updated accordingly. The transmission network in Ireland was modelled using the same transmission network that was used in the Gate 3 Constraints Reports. This section details modelling assumptions associated with the transmission network.

A.6.1. DERATING FROM MVA TO MW

PROMOD IV is a DC load flow simulation tool and is only concerned with active power flows. Transmission plant and line ratings, normally defined in terms of MVA, were converted to MW ratings using an assumed power factor of 0.9 in Ireland and 0.95 in Northern Ireland.

A.6.2. OVERHEAD LINE, CABLE AND TRANSFORMER OVERLOAD RATINGS

In formulating an optimum dispatch PROMOD IV takes account of potential overloads that could be caused as a result of certain N-1 contingencies on the transmission system. When determining if the post-contingency flows are within limits, the program uses the overload rating of the apparatus or plant, where specified, instead of the normal rating. The overload rating is typically higher than the normal rating but is only allowed in emergency conditions and for short periods of time. The overload rating is plant specific.

In Northern Ireland, emergency overload ratings are specified transformers, but not for overhead lines.

A.6.3. TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OUTAGES

The constraint modelling will not take account of scheduled transmission outages except for outages associated with new build and upgrades in Ireland and Northern Ireland. These outage durations will be based on time estimates available at the commencement of the study.

A.6.4. DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

For the purposes of the constraints modelling, a simplified model of the distribution system was used. All load and generation was assumed to be aggregated to the nearest transmission node. It was checked as much was reasonably possible that this did not impact on potential transmission system flows e.g. parallel paths.

A.6.5. CONTINGENCY MONITORING

A full list of N-1 contingencies will be included in the model for the loss of transmission lines and transformers. PROMOD IV will solve these contingencies and produce a dispatch that will avoid any post-fault overloads.

Some contingencies are not modelled: Dublin 110kV, couplers, tail fed stations and some contingencies that are assumed to be relieved by a Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) or Special Protection Scheme (SPS).

In Northern Ireland, all 110/275kV transformers as well as all 110kV circuits are considered as N-1 all year round. 275kV double circuit contingencies are modelled such that in winter, the contingency is the loss of the double circuit and in summer is the loss of a single circuit, with the exception of the Coolkeeragh-Magherafelt 275kV double circuit, where the loss of the double circuit is considered all year round.

A.6.6. SPECIAL PROTECTION SCHEMES

The Mulreavy RAS is modelled for these studies. In modelling the post-contingency flows following the loss of either Cathaleen's Fall-Clogher 110kV line, it is assumed that the Gate 2 Mulreavy wind farm will be tripped.

In Operations, when the Coolkeeragh-Magherafelt 275kV double circuit trips, a runback scheme is operated at Coolkeeragh, whereby the CCGT can run at 160MW, 100MW below its minimum recommended operating point. This has been accounted for in post processing of the constraint results.

A.6.7. NETWORK CHANGES

To reflect the differences in constraints modelling compared to steady-state AC load flow studies. Some minor changes were made to the network data such as switching in transformers that are normally on hot standby and not splitting stations for short circuit reasons.

A.6.8. TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENTS

A full list of transmission reinforcements in Northern Ireland assumed in the GOR studies is included in Appendix D. It should be noted that the inclusion of reinforcements projects in this study is not confirmation that they will proceed. These are modelling assumptions and should not be considered as fact.

The transmission reinforcements for Ireland are consistent with those used in the Gate 3 Constraint Reports.

A.7. SEMC DECISIONS RELATING TO GOR

As mentioned in Section 3, the SEMC have recently published decisions relating to GOR rules. These have been implemented in the constraints modelling as accurately as possible. They are summarised as follows:

- In the event of a simultaneous constraint and curtailment, GOR to relieve the constraint is applied before GOR to relieve curtailment
- Constraint groups are modelled in Donegal and the South-West of Ireland (when it comes into effect)
- GOR to relieve a constraint (outside of constraint groups) is achieved by reducing the output of the generator(s) which have the greatest effect in alleviating the constraint. In the event of a tie-break situation where a group of generators have a similar effect in alleviating the constraint, GOR is applied on a pro-rata basis
- GOR to relieve a constraint associated with a constraint group is achieved by reducing the output of the generators on a grand-fathered basis, i.e. generation is reduced in the order of non-firm, followed by partially firm and finally firm
- Modelling SO-SO counter trading as part of the priority dispatch rules, it is assumed that during a curtailment event, the Moyle and EWIC interconnectors are used to export excess generation to GB before reducing wind generation on the island

Node	20	14 (MV	V)	201	15 (M\	N)	20	16 (M	W)	20)17 (MV	V)	20	18 (MV	/)	20)19 (MV	V)	20	20 (MV	/)
Noue	Т	С	U	Т	С	U	Т	С	U	H	С	U	Т	С	U	Т	С	U	Т	С	U
Aghyoule	68	54	14	83	69	14	83	69	14	83	69	14	83	69	14	83	69	14	83	69	14
Antrim							2	0	2	7	0	7	7	0	7	7	0	7	7	0	7
Ballymena	5	0	5	11	6	5	14	6	8	14	6	8	14	6	8	14	6	8	14	6	8
Carnmoney	14	14	0	14	14	0	14	14	0	14	14	0	14	14	0	14	14	0	14	14	0
Coleraine	108	103	5	108	103	5	108	103	5	108	103	5	108	103	5	108	103	5	108	103	5
Coolkeeragh							12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0
Drumnakelly				5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5
Drumquin										89	89	0	89	89	0	89	89	0	89	89	0
Dungannon	18	18	0	18	18	0	18	18	0	18	18	0	18	18	0	18	18	0	18	18	0
Eden	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3
Enniskillen	17	17	0	32	32	0	35	32	3	35	32	3	35	32	3	35	32	3	35	32	3
Gort							90	90	0	90	90	0	90	90	0	90	90	0	90	90	0
Killymallaght	21	21	0	21	21	0	36	36	0	36	36	0	36	36	0	36	36	0	36	36	0
Larne	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5	15	10	5
Limavady	38	12	26	38	12	26	38	12	26	111	84	26	111	84	26	111	84	26	111	84	26
Lisaghmore	18	15	3	18	15	3	18	15	3	18	15	3	18	15	3	18	15	3	18	15	3
Loguestown										12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0
Magherakeel	124	124	0	124	124	0	124	124	0	124	124	0	124	124	0	124	124	0	124	124	0
Mid Antrim				37	37	0	37	37	0	37	37	0	37	37	0	37	37	0	37	37	0
Newry							12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	0
Omagh	126	108	18	126	108	18	96	78	18	96	78	18	96	78	18	96	78	18	96	78	18
Slieve Kirk	74	74	0	74	74	0	74	74	0	74	74	0	74	74	0	74	74	0	74	74	0
Springtown										45	45	0	45	45	0	45	45	0	45	45	0
Strabane	28	22	6	28	22	6	28	22	6	28	22	6	28	22	6	28	22	6	28	22	6
Tremoge				60	55	5	78	73	5	78	73	5	78	73	5	78	73	5	78	73	5
TOTAL	667	582	85	815	823	95	940	837	103	1164	1056	108	1164	1056	108	1164	1056	108	1164	1056	108

Appendix B. Northern Ireland Installed Onshore Wind Capacity

 Table 47: Northern Ireland onshore wind assumptions for 2014 and 2020

Appendix C. NORTHERN IRELAND INSTALLED OFFSHORE WIND CAPACITY

	Installed Offshore Wind Capacity (MW)									
Node		2020 (Scena	ario A)	2020 (Scenario B)						
	Total	Controllable	Uncontrollable	Total	Controllable	Uncontrollable				
Castlereagh ⁶	200	200	0	600	600	0				
TOTAL	200	200	0	600	600	0				

 Table 48: Northern Ireland offshore wind assumptions for 2020

Appendix D. NORTHERN IRELAND NETWORK REINFORCEMENTS

Project Type	Project							
Included in model from 2014								
Uprate	Coleraine – Kells 110kV circuit							
Uprate	Dungannon – Omagh 110kV double circuit (limited by circuit breaker in Dungannon							
mesh until 2016)								
	Included in model from 2015							
New Build	Mid-Antrim Cluster 110kV							
New Build	Coleraine – Mid-Antrim 110kV circuit and Mid-Antrim – Kells 110kV circuit x 2							
Now Ruild	(Replaces Coleraine – Kells 110kV circuit)							
New Dullu	Crearby Tramage 110kV							
New Build	Omagn – Tremoge Truk v circuit							
New Build	Iremoge – Dungannon 110kV circuit							
	Belfast North 110kV station, replacing Power Station West							
Now Ruild	Cost Cluster 1100/							
New Build	Control Cost 110k/ circuit							
New Dullu	Omagn – Golt Truky circuit							
New Build	Gort – Tamnamore 110kV circuit							
New Build	Tremoge – Tamnamore TTUKV circuit, changing configuration of Tremoge –							
New Build	Dunyannun Truk V Ullull 1 x 3-winding 110/275kV interhus transformer added at Tempemore (meking 2 in tatel)							
	1 x Tampamore - Omade 110k/ circuit							
	$2 \times \text{Dupgappan} = \text{Tampamore } 110 \text{kV} \text{ circuits}$							
	Z X Dungannon – Tannianore Troky Greaks Drumnakelly – Tampamore 110kV double circuit (replaces Drumnakelly – Dungannon							
New Build 110kV double circuit)								
	Magherafelt – Tamnamore 275kV circuit and Tamnamore – Tandragee 275kV. With							
New Build	Magherafelt – Tandragee 275kV circuit turned – 275kV double circuit between							
	Magherafelt – Tamnamore and Tamnamore – Tandragee.							
New Build	Tamnamore – Tandragee 275kV							
New Build	Creagh – Tamnamore 110kV circuit (replaces existing Creagh – Dungannon 110kV							
	Circuit							
New Duild	Included in model from 2017							
New Build	Drumquin Cluster 110kv							
New Build	Omagh South – Drumquin Cluster 110kV circuit							
New Build	Airport Road 110kV station							
New Build								
New Build	Omagh South 110kV station							
New Build	Omagn South – Enniskillen 110kV double circuit (replacing Omagn – Enniskillen 110kV							
New Build	Omagh – Omagh South 110kV double circuit							
Uprate	Coleraine – Coolkeeragh 110kV circuit							

Uprate	Coleraine – Limavady 110kV circuit					
Uprate	Coolkeeragh – Limavady 110kV circuit					
New Build	Turleenan 275kV and 380kV stations					
New Build	3 x 3-winding 275/380kV interbus transformers at Turleenan					
New Build	1 x Turleenan – Woodland 380kV circuit					
New Build	Tandragee – Turleenan 275kV double circuit					
New Build	Tamnamore – Turleenan 275kV double circuit					
	Included in model from 2018					
Uprate	Coolkeeragh – Magherafelt 275kV double circuit					
Uprate	Hannahstown – Lisburn 110kV double circuit					
New Build	1 x 3-winding 110/275kV interbus transformer at Castlereagh (making 4 in total)					
	Included in model from 2019					
	No network changes					
	Included in model from 2020					
New Build	Coleraine – North Antrim 110kV double circuit					
New Build	Kells – North Antrim 110kV double circuit					
New Build	North Antrim - Fairhead 110kV circuit					
New Build	North Antrim - Torrhead 110kV circuit					
New Build	Castlereagh – South Down 275kV double circuit					
New Build	Omagh South – Turleenan 275kV single circuit					
New Build	Omagh South 275kV station					
Now Ruild						

 Table 49: Northern Ireland Network Reinforcement assumptions for 2014 and 2020

Appendix E. KEY TERMS

The following key terms in relation to GOR are described below:

A **constraint** is defined as generator output reduction to alleviate transmission network congestion. A constraint can usually only be resolved by reducing the output of one or a small group of generator.

A **curtailment** is defined as generator output reduction for system integrity purposes, such as maximum non-synchronous penetration, system reserve etc. A curtailment can usually be resolved by reducing the output of any generator.

A **tie-break** situation occurs when a number of equally priced generators exhibit a similar impact on alleviating a system security issue.

Firm Access Quantity (FAQ) is defined as the network capacity available to facilitate generator output export under an N-1 contingency.